

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,718	01/05/2004	Siu Wing Or	MCHK/149/US	2247
2543 ALIY VALE A	7590 02/06/2008 PRISTAS I I P		EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP 750 MAIN STREET			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
SUITE 1400 HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/751,718	OR ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark Budd	2834
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	,	·
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Apr This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-20 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,6-13 and 15-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath of the oat	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority L	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/751,718

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-4,6-13 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable Wright in view of Hansen and combined with Porzio. In figure 2 Wright shows a wire bonding apparatus having a wire bonding tool #23 at one end of an amplification horn #14 and which has a mounting flange #15 at the other end of the horn. The device is driven by a generic ultrasonic transducer which may include a magnetostrictive driver. Hansen teaches a high power ultrasonic transducer can have a higher efficiency by using a prestressed magnetostrictive Terfenol-D material combined with a first field generator to provide a bias field and a second field generator to providing a magnetic drive field. Porzio (note figure 5 and column 5 lines 40-52) teaches a further enhanced output can be obtained by combining the Terfenol- D (rare earth based alloy) layers with samarium cobalt layers (rare earth based alloy) separated from one another by a layer of epoxy (passive polymer material). It has long been held that selection from among known, suitable materials is within the skill expected at the routineer. Thus, to select the specific well known magnetostrictive materials used by Porzio in the giant magnetostrictive arrangement of Hhansen and place it into the generic system of Wright in order to provide a predictable increase in power and efficiency would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding applicant's comments, prestressing and fastening of the magneto strictive material can be found in Hanson, column 12, wine 36-column 13, line 11.

Applicant has argued that there is "no motivation to combine the ... references". The examiner disagrees, noting that the statement of rejection clearly sets forth that a device with higher efficiency would be expected from combining the known attributes of each reference. Applicant further argues that the Hansen and Wright references are not analogous to each other. Again, the examiner disagrees. Both references can be found in class 310/26 which pertains to magnetostrictive devices. Applicant states that there are "there are no problems with the ultrasonic transducer in the Wright apparatus, thus, there is no motivation for a skilled practitioner to improve or modify it.". Again, the examiner disagrees. Businesses and inventors are always motivated to improve something as technology changes. The shape of the Terfenol-D rod is not seen as an issue in the current claims and rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,718

Art Unit: 2834

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,718

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2834